



AFT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of) It was become in the state of
Okamoto et al.	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope
Serial No.: 09/934,699	addressed to:
Serial 1 (oil 5) 75 1,623	Commissioner for Patents,
Filed: August 22, 2001	P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
For: Portable Electronic Device	August 14, 2006 Cath Diagram
Art Unit: 2871	Name of applicant, assignee, or Registered Rep.
Examiner: T. Duong) Signature of Date 1 Signature of Date
Commissioner for Patents	
P.O. Box 1450	

RESPONSE (H) TO FINAL REJECTION

Sir:

Applicants have the following response to the Final Rejection mailed May 12, 2006.

Applicants will address each of the Examiner's rejections in the order in which they appear in the Final Rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 21, 22, 34 and 35

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner continues to reject Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 21, 22, 34 and 35 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Priestman et al. (US 6,812,954) in view of Nakai et al. (US 6,072,454) and Yamazaki (US 6,037,635). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner contends that <u>Priestman</u> "discloses a portable electronic